

AF/2700
PATENT

Docket No.: CISCO-1321

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Group Art Unit: 2172

Examiner: Hung Q. Pham

Serial No. 09/416,308 ✓

Filed: October 12, 1999

In re Application of: Kathail, et al.

For: SUBSYSTEM APPLICATION NOTIFICATION METHOD IN A CENTRALIZED ROUTER
DATABASECertificate of Mailing

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as First Class Mail, in an envelope addressed to Director of Patents, Box AF, Washington, D.C. 20231 on 4/7/03

Signed

Martha N. Griffin

TRANSMITTAL LETTERDirector for Patents
Box AF
Washington, D.C. 20231

RECEIVED

APR 15 2003

Technology Center 2100

Dear Sir:

Enclosed please find a Response to the Office Action dated 24 February, 2003, in connection with the above-identified application.

In the event the patent office charges a fee for filing the above-noted document, including any fees required under 37 CFR 1.136 for any necessary Extension of Time to make the filing of the attached documents timely, the Assistant Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge or credit the difference to our Deposit Account No. 50-0612. An additional copy of this page is enclosed.

Respectfully submitted,
Sierra Patent Group, Ltd.William P. Wilbar
Reg. No.: 43,265

Dated: April 07, 2003

Sierra Patent Group, Ltd.
P.O. Box 6149
Stateline, NV 89449
(775) 586-9500



PATENT
Docket No.: CISCO-1321
#16
NE
KWS
417-03

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Group Art Unit: 2172 Examiner: Anh Ly
Serial No. 09/416,310 Filed: October 12, 1999

In re Application of: Kathail, et al.

For: METHOD AND SYSTEM FOR EXECUTING, TRACKING AND RESTORING TEMPORARY
ROUTER CONFIGURATION CHANGE USING A CENTRALIZED DATABASE

Certificate of Mailing
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as First Class Mail, in an envelope addressed to Director of Patents, Box AF, Washington, D.C. 20231 on 4/7/03
Signed Martha N. Griffin
Martha N. Griffin

AMENDMENT AND RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION RECEIVED

Director for Patents
Box AF
Washington, D.C. 20231

APR 15 2003
Technology Center 2100

Dear Sir:

In response to the Office Action dated February 24, 2003, kindly consider the following remarks:

REMARKS

In an Office Action dated 24 October 2002, the Examiner rejects claims 19-34 (all pending claims). In response to the office action, Applicants respectfully traverse the rejections. Claims 19 -34 (all pending claims) remain in the application. In light of the below arguments, Applicants respectfully request that this case be allowed.

In the office action, the Examiner rejects claim 19 under 35 USC § 103(a) as being obvious under U.S. Patent Number 6,308, 205 B1 issued to Carcerano et al. (Carcerano). Claim 19 is not *prima facie* obvious under Carcerano. The Examiner has not cited evidence that each and every limitation of the claim is taught by Carcerano as required by MPEP §2142. MPEP §2142 requires a reference or combination of references teach